

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Workington DCC 20231 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09:720,840	09-05.2001	Peter Francis Leadlay	0380-P02382U	6757
110	7590 02 04 2002		•	
DANN DORFMAN HERRELL & SKILLMAN			EXAMINER	
SUITE 720 1601 MARKET STREET			KERR, KATHLEEN M	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	2
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/720,840	LEADLAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 3	September 2001 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ter:				

Application/Control Number: 09/720,840

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Applicants filed a preliminary amendment with the instant application that canceled Claim 8 and added Claim 16. Thus, Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are pending.

Restriction

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, 13, and 16, drawn to PKS-containing systems.

Group II, claim(s) 9-11, drawn to nucleic acid sequences encoding PKS-containing systems, vectors, and host cells thereof.

Group III, claim(s) 12 and 15, drawn to methods of making polyketides.

Group IV, claim(s) 14, drawn to polyketides.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

"A group of inventions is considered linked to form a single general inventive concept where there is a technical relationship among the inventions that involved at least one common or corresponding special technical feature. The expression special Application/Control Number: 09/720,840

Art Unit: 1652

technical features is defined as meaning those technical features that define the contribution which each claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." (see M.P.E.P. § 1893.03(d))

In the instant case, the *technical* feature linking all the pending claims is the polyketide synthase (PKS) system; claims are drawn to the enzymes themselves (the PKS system), DNA encoding the enzymes, specific uses of the DNA to make polyketides, and polyketides. However, the technical feature, that is the PKS in Claim 1, is **not a special technical feature** because the enzyme, as claimed, does not contribute over the prior art as evidenced in the International Search Report (PCT/ISA/210) and International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPER/409). Lacking a special technical feature, the pending claims are restricted according to their substance as different products (Groups I, II, and IV) and their different categories (Group III – method claims).

Election

4. A telephone call was made to Patrick Hagan on January 28, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1652

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

Examiner's Suggestions

5. The Examiner suggests that Applicants consider the comments in the IPER from the international phase of the instant application. An amendment prior to examination to correct and/or clarify some of these issues raised would greatly facilitate more efficient prosecution of the instant application.

Conclusion

6. A complete response to the instant Office action must contain an election of invention to be examined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

KMK January 28, 2002